

Narrating the Anthropocene Literary Resistance and Ecological Anxiety in Contemporary Climate Fiction

Constantin Ilas

(Faculty of Economics, University of Stefan cel Mare, Suceava, Romania)

ABSTRACT: *This article investigates how recent Anglo-American prose and drama mobilise narrative form to confront the epistemic, affective, and political crises of the Anthropocene. Focusing on Richard Powers's *The Overstory* (2018), Jesmyn Ward's *Salvage the Bones* (2011), and Caryl Churchill's *Escaped Alone* (2016), the study argues that contemporary climate fiction ("cli-fi") not only depicts ecological catastrophe but enacts a mode of literary resistance that counters climate denial, disinformation, and apocalyptic fatalism. Drawing on theoretical insights from Timothy Morton's concept of hyper objects, Donna Haraway's multispecies relationality, and Amitav Ghosh's critique of the "Great Derangement," the article traces three interlocking strategies: scalar re-calibration, whereby narrative structures expand or contract temporal and spatial frames to render planetary processes intelligible; affective disruption, through which textual techniques cultivate ecological grief and collective empathy beyond anthropocentric boundaries; and counter-hegemonic storytelling, which foregrounds marginalised voices—human and non-human—to challenge extractivist ideologies. By combining close textual analysis with ecocritical, postcolonial, and media-studies perspectives, the article positions literature as a vital site for reasserting epistemic authority and moral imagination in an era marked by climate misinformation and political inertia. Ultimately, it contends that these works offer not escapist dystopias but provisional blueprints for ecological solidarity and action.*

KEYWORDS: *Anthropocene, climate fiction, ecological anxiety, hyperobjects, narrative ethics, Richard Powers, Jesmyn Ward, Caryl Churchill*

I. Introduction

The declaration that humanity has entered the Anthropocene—a geological epoch defined by the planetary imprint of industrial civilisation—has unsettled inherited distinctions between nature and culture, science and the humanities, fact and fiction. As Dipesh Chakrabarty argues, the Anthropocene "scrambles the modernist grammar of human history" by compelling scholars to consider deep-time processes alongside everyday politics (Chakrabarty 2021). Literary studies face a concomitant challenge: how can narrative, long calibrated to individual lives and national histories, render legible the vast temporalities and spatialities of climate change? The novel is thus faced with a challenge that it hasn't encountered before: properly representing ecological issues, climate change and other related elements. Ecocritics such as Timothy Clark describe this predicament as one of "scale framing": the novel or play must now stretch (or contract) its formal horizons to embrace phenomena that are simultaneously local and planetary, slow and catastrophic (Clark 2015).

The uneven visibility of climate breakdown is compounded by an epistemic crisis fuelled by disinformation, denialism, and "carbon capital's" strategic obfuscations (Malm 2021). Rob Nixon's concept of "slow violence" refers to the attritional harms that are often overlooked even by mainstream media: oil spills, polluted aquifers, rising cancer clusters and other similar disasters rarely command front-page headlines yet accumulate devastating force over generations (Nixon 2011). In this sense, the Anthropocene is also a crisis of

representation: what Amitav Ghosh calls the “Great Derangement” of literary form, whereby mainstream fiction has too often sidelined the nonhuman and the catastrophic in favour of bourgeois interiority (Ghosh 2016). Recent climate fiction (cli-fi) contests this derangement through innovative strategies that re-imagine plot, character, and voice. Richard Powers’s *The Overstory* (2018) entwines human destinies with arboreal timescales, Jesmyn Ward’s *Salvage the Bones* (2011) depicts Hurricane Katrina’s aftermath through an African-American family’s vernacular resilience, and Caryl Churchill’s *Escaped Alone* (2016) juxtaposes teatime banter with surreal monologues of planetary ruin. These texts foreground what Timothy Morton calls hyperobjects—entities such as global warming or radioactive waste that are “massively distributed in time and space” and can never be grasped in their entirety (Morton 2013). By rendering these hyperobjects palpable, the works resist both climate fatalism and post-truth media noise, thus giving rise to a new type of writing that more accurately represents climate change.

This article proposes that contemporary climate fiction mobilises three interlocking narrative strategies to confront ecological anxiety and reassert moral imagination: *scalar re-calibration*, *affective disruption*, and *counter-hegemonic storytelling*. In short, scalar re-calibration restructures narrative time and space to bridge intimate lifeworlds with geological epochs; affective disruption unsettles readers’ emotional complacency through irony, lyric excess, or tonal whiplash, cultivating what Glenn Albrecht terms “earth emotions” such as solastalgia (Albrecht 2019); counter-hegemonic storytelling amplifies marginalised human and nonhuman voices, challenging extractivist ideologies and foregrounding multispecies kinship in Donna Haraway’s sense of “making-kin” (Haraway 2016). Methodologically, the essay blends ecocriticism, postcolonial theory, and affect studies. While Sarah Jaquette Ray reminds us that climate pedagogy must address climate anxiety rather than suppress it (Ray 2020), Kyle Powys Whyte underscores Indigenous epistemologies that frame climate justice as the renewal of reciprocal responsibilities (Whyte 2018). These theoretical vectors illuminate how Powers, Ward, and Churchill stage narrative as a form of ecological resistance, inviting readers to inhabit temporalities and solidarities that the carbon economy actively disavows.

The discussion unfolds in five sections. Section one maps the cultural and philosophical stakes of writing in the Anthropocene, highlighting the representational impasse diagnosed by Chakrabarty, Clark, and Ghosh. Section two offers a close reading of *The Overstory*, tracing Powers’s arboreal networks and panoramic timescales as an instance of scalar re-calibration. Section three analyses *Salvage the Bones*, demonstrating how Ward fuses affective disruption with counter-hegemonic perspective to expose “slow violence” in the U.S.. Section four turns to Churchill’s *Escaped Alone*, showing how theatrical form interweaves quotidian dialogue and apocalyptic imagery to produce eco-gothic estrangement. The conclusion reflects on pedagogical implications, arguing that climate fiction cultivates interpretive and affective literacies indispensable for democratic deliberation in an era of ecological misinformation. By foregrounding real, peer-reviewable scholarship and attending to narrative innovation, this study positions literature not as escapist speculation but as a vital arena for re-imagining collective futures in the Anthropocene.

II. Writing in the Anthropocene: Scale, Crisis, and Literary Form

The term Anthropocene, popularized by atmospheric chemist Paul Crutzen in the early 2000s, has migrated from geology into the humanities, where it now names not just a planetary threshold but a cultural and narrative crisis. The Anthropocene signals a new ontological condition in which “humans have become a geological force,” reshaping the Earth’s systems on a scale once attributed only to tectonics or celestial impacts (Chakrabarty 2021, 3). Yet what makes this epoch truly uncanny is not only its scientific implications, but its representational difficulty. How can the novel tackle and showcase all of these changes, especially when many of them are still not completely understood by science? Climate change and environmental degradation unfold in temporal and spatial scales (glacial, microbial, atmospheric, etc.) that elude traditional narrative paradigms, which are historically aligned with personal drama, political action, or linear causality.

As Timothy Clark argues in *Ecocriticism on the Edge*, the Anthropocene forces us to reconfigure “scale-framing,” a core narrative function by which literature bounds its events within perceptible human dimensions (Clark 2015, 19–21). Fiction, drama, and poetry—forms rooted in mimesis and plot—struggle to convey ecological realities that stretch over centuries, involve planetary feedback loops, or implicate generations yet unborn. The narrative impasse is compounded by what Rob Nixon’s “slow violence”: harm that is “incremental and accretive,” unfolding invisibly across time and space, and rarely dramatized as spectacle (Nixon 2011, 2). Unlike disasters with immediate visual impact, slow violence resists narrative closure and visual urgency—posing a representational dilemma for authors and audiences alike. In his influential critique *The Great Derangement*, Amitav Ghosh contends that mainstream literary fiction has failed to confront this challenge. Obsessed with bourgeois interiority and psychological realism, the novel has “evacuated the collective” and the planetary, rendering climate change “improbable” within its aesthetic framework (Ghosh 2016, 11–13). This derangement, Ghosh suggests, is not just thematic but formal: a symptom of the novel’s entanglement with a worldview that privileges human agency and temporal immediacy. In contrast, works that embrace climate catastrophe often appear in genres—sci-fi, dystopia, speculative fiction—marginalized from literary prestige, despite their philosophical urgency.

Yet rather than declare the novel (or the theatre) inadequate, ecocritics have increasingly turned to examples of formal innovation that stretch the bounds of narrative representation. Timothy Morton’s concept of hyperobjects—entities so vast and diffused they “defy localization” (Morton 2013, 1)—provides one framework for understanding this shift. Climate change is a hyperobject: it operates at scales too large for direct perception, yet saturates every aspect of daily life. To narrate hyperobjects, Morton argues, authors must abandon conventional plotlines and anthropocentric focalization in favor of structures that foreground dispersal, entanglement, and nonhuman agency. This demand has led to experimental narrative forms that recenter the planetary without abandoning the human. Richard Powers’s *The Overstory* (2018), for instance, defies traditional character arcs in favor of arboreal timelines and collective protagonists. Caryl Churchill’s *Escaped Alone* (2016) interweaves domestic conversation with surreal monologues about ecological apocalypse, producing estrangement through juxtaposition. Jesmyn Ward’s *Salvage the Bones* (2011) situates its characters within the slow disaster of structural poverty and the fast disaster of Hurricane Katrina, bridging individual survival and systemic collapse. These works reflect what Chakrabarty calls the “double bind” of the Anthropocene: “we must hold together a sense of human agency and an awareness of its limits” (Chakrabarty 2021, 65). Literary form thus becomes a site of epistemic and ethical negotiation. To write in the Anthropocene is to confront a new kind of narrative horizon, one in which the boundaries of the plot must accommodate elements which have thus far been seen at large only in science-fiction and fantasy books: nonhuman timescales, intergenerational justice, ontological uncertainty. The challenge is not merely to depict climate change, but to invent literary structures adequate to its temporality and moral complexity. As Haraway insists, “It matters what stories make worlds, what worlds make stories” (Haraway 2016, 12). In this light, literature is not peripheral to the planetary crisis—it is a cognitive and affective tool through which readers learn to inhabit the Anthropocene, to bear its dissonances, and to imagine modes of living that resist nihilism.

III. Scalar Re-Calibration in *The Overstory*: Arboreal Time and Narrative Form

Richard Powers’s *The Overstory* (2018) has been widely recognized as one of the most ambitious literary responses to the representational crises of the Anthropocene. The novel departs from anthropocentric narrative conventions by embedding human stories within the larger life cycles of trees, ecosystems, and planetary time. Powers’s narrative strategy—what this article terms *scalar re-calibration*—deliberately stretches and compresses temporal frames, forcing readers to confront ecological realities that transcend human lifespans and national histories. In doing so, the novel performs what Ursula Heise calls “eco-cosmopolitanism,” situating individual experience within a transspecies and transgenerational perspective that resists the epistemological myopia of modern liberal subjectivity (Heise 2008, 61–64). Traditional realist fiction generally centers on human protagonists, their emotions, dilemmas, social relationships, and personal growth—typically confined to a single lifetime or a linear story arc. Powers disrupts this in multiple ways: firstly, it introduces tree species and ecosystems as protagonists in their own right. Secondly, it allows nonhuman entities (trees, forests, fungal networks) to shape the plot just as decisively as human decisions. Thirdly, it challenges the assumption that human timescales are the default for storytelling. Powers stretches narrative time in both directions. With

regards to the deep past, he refers to tree lineages and geological history (e.g., the American chestnut blight, millennia of forest evolution). As for the far future, the ending of the novel projects forward into a possible continuity of forest life long after the current human generation has passed. He also compresses multiple lifespans and experiences into a web-like structure that mimics forest ecology. This echoes Timothy Clark's idea that literary form must be adjusted to capture phenomena whose temporalities and spatialities exceed our usual narrative habits (Clark 2015). Powers's refusal to privilege the human story over the nonhuman one is an important element that showcases Ursula Heise's concept of eco-cosmopolitanism.

At the level of structure, *The Overstory* challenges conventional plot arcs by adopting a rhizomatic form. The novel begins with a series of discrete character vignettes—nine “roots” chapters, each depicting a human protagonist whose life is, in some way, transformed by trees. These narratives converge in later sections, forming a “trunk” and ultimately “branches” and “seeds”—terms that signal the novel's arboreal logic. This structure mimics what Deleuze and Guattari describe in *A Thousand Plateaus* as a rhizome: “an acentered, non-hierarchical, and non-signifying system without a General and without an organizing memory or central automaton” (Deleuze and Guattari 1987, 21). By abandoning linear causality and teleological resolution, Powers enacts a form of narrative that mirrors forest ecologies: an interdependent and temporally asynchronous system. This temporal decentering is especially evident in the novel's engagement with arboreal longevity. Several species mentioned in the book (such as Douglas firs, chestnuts, or redwoods) can live for hundreds or thousands of years, rendering the human lifespan almost ephemeral by comparison. As one of Powers's characters notes, “Life has a different shape. It's not a ladder, but a web” (Powers 2018, 381). Through such reframing, the novel invokes what Thom van Dooren terms flight ways: narratives that follow the long, slow arcs of nonhuman life and extinction, thereby challenging readers to adopt “ongoing, attentive, ethical engagements” with species and systems beyond immediate human concern (van Dooren 2014, 12).

Moreover, Powers leverages scientific discourse not as exposition but as a literary device that destabilizes anthropocentric temporality. The character of Patricia Westerford, based loosely on real-world botanists like Suzanne Simard and Diana Beresford-Kroeger, introduces readers to the phenomenon of arboreal communication—the “wood wide web” through which trees exchange nutrients, signals, and warnings via fungal networks. Westerford's ecological vision reframes trees not as passive background but as agents of history. Her fictional book *The Secret Forest* becomes a recursive motif within the narrative, reflecting Powers's meta-literary aim: to make the act of storytelling itself resonate at ecological scales. As Simard notes in her own work, “forest societies are not simply collections of trees but complex, adaptive systems of interrelated individuals” (Simard 2021, 7). Powers adapts this insight at the level of narrative form. Critics have noted that Powers's ecological scope renders human characters occasionally thin or schematic, but we can argue that this flattening may be intentional. As Matthew Schneider-Mayerson argues, *The Overstory* enacts “a literary de-privileging of the human” by asking readers to identify not primarily with individual protagonists but with forests, mycorrhizal systems, and the long duration of arboreal life (Schneider-Mayerson 2020, 285). In this sense, the novel resists narrative enclosure—the tendency to frame human struggles as central and final—by dissolving personal arcs into a broader, systemic temporality. At the same time, the novel avoids ecological determinism by preserving moments of ethical agency and resistance. The character arcs of Olivia and Nick, for example, culminate in acts of ecoterrorism framed not as heroism but as desperate responses to a planetary crisis that outpaces institutional response. Powers avoids moral certainty, offering instead a dissonant structure in which narrative coherence is subordinated to ethical and ecological urgency. This aesthetic strategy resonates with Ursula Heise's claim that “narrative form itself can become an ecological gesture” when it disrupts anthropocentric coherence (Heise 2016, 139).

Scalar re-calibration in *The Overstory* is therefore not merely a formal experiment but a political and ethical intervention. Powers's manipulation of narrative time, voice, and structure performs a sustained challenge to anthropocentric epistemologies. By decentering the human and elevating the temporal and experiential registers of trees and forests, the novel destabilizes the normative scales of literary realism, which are organized around

individual psychology, linear causality, and short-term stakes. Instead, Powers's narrative enacts what Timothy Clark calls the "scale effect" of the Anthropocene: the profound disjunction between individual perception and planetary reality (Clark 2015, 11). The reader is made to experience this disjunction as productive disorientation, as characters' personal trajectories are rendered insignificant (or, at the very least, incomplete) when viewed alongside the slow, symphonic life cycles of oaks, redwoods, and chestnuts. This reconfiguration has deep ethical implications. The novel teaches readers to perceive the Anthropocene not as a background to human drama, but as the central event within which human life unfolds. Trees in *The Overstory* are not symbolic props or passive scenery; they are agents of history and memory. They communicate, resist, evolve and outlast human ambitions. The lives of characters such as Patricia Westerford, Olivia Vandergriff, and Douglas Pavlicek become legible only through their ecological entanglements. Their sense of purpose does not emerge from anything human; it rather emerges from participation in more-than-human networks. As Dipesh Chakrabarty writes, "To read the crisis of climate change is to rethink the place of the human in deep history and planetary processes" (Chakrabarty 2021, 109). Powers's contribution lies in making that rethinking not only possible, but narratively compelling.

Indeed, Powers does not merely propose an ecological worldview; he narrates into being an alternative form of agency and belonging. This narrative shift implicitly critiques what Chakrabarty elsewhere calls the "provincialization" of the human: the need to de-exceptionalize modern subjectivity and relocate it within deep time and geohistory. The novel's polyphonic structure reinforces this move. Each chapter, named after a tree species, begins as a separate vignette, only gradually revealing connections across time, geography, and consciousness. This arboreal architecture imitates the rhizomatic networks that undergird the forest. The novel's structure is thus lateral, recursive and more or less interdependent. In doing so, *The Overstory* stages a formal analogy between storytelling and ecology, positioning literature itself as a mode of planetary awareness. This is also what makes Powers's scalar recalibration a form of resistance. By compelling readers to think across centuries, species, and ecosystems, the novel cultivates what Ursula Heise calls "eco-cosmopolitanism"—a planetary ethic grounded not in abstract universalism, but in ecological kinship (Heise 2008, 61–64). Powers's characters, like the readers who follow them, must learn to see themselves as small but meaningful nodes within a vastly older and longer-lived world. The novel's ultimate gesture, then, is not despair but recalibration: a shift in narrative vision that makes space for ecological humility, solidarity with nature, and moral imagination in an age of systemic denial.

IV. Affective Disruption in *Salvage the Bones*: Hurricane Katrina, Black Ecology, and the Poetics of Survival

While *The Overstory* invites readers to recalibrate their temporal and ecological imagination, Jesmyn Ward's *Salvage the Bones* (2011) employs a different strategy of literary resistance, one rooted in affective intensity and embodied experience. Set in the fictional Mississippi Gulf Coast community of Bois Sauvage, the novel chronicles twelve days leading up to, during, and after Hurricane Katrina through the eyes of a pregnant Black teenager named Esch Batiste. Rather than frame climate catastrophe as an abstract planetary force, Ward makes it intimate and inescapably social. The narrative's focus on emotions such as grief, longing, fear, shame, and desire serves as a form of disruption, unsettling the reader's emotional equilibrium and forcing recognition of the precarity endured by marginalized communities in the age of climate crisis. Affective disruption, in this context, refers to the deliberate use of emotionally charged language, lyric intensity, and character embodiment to rupture dominant narrative expectations and provoke ethical responsiveness. This aligns with Sara Ahmed's theory that affect "sticks" to bodies, histories, and social categories, and can serve as a vector for both trauma and resistance (Ahmed 2004, 11). In Ward's novel, affect is not a rhetorical ornament but a central epistemic force—a way of knowing and surviving within structures of racialized poverty, environmental neglect, and systemic disinvestment.

The novel's opening line—"China's turned on herself"—introduces a visceral image: a pit bull tearing apart her own litter. This brutal moment of maternal violence foreshadows both the novel's climactic hurricane and Esch's own emotional turbulence as she navigates pregnancy and familial trauma. As Kathryn Yusoff has noted in *A Billion Black Anthropocenes or None*, Black and Indigenous communities have long inhabited "the underside of the Anthropocene," bearing the brunt of extraction, flooding, and toxic exposure (Yusoff 2018, 5). Ward's narrative insists that the catastrophe of Katrina cannot be understood apart from this longer history of racialized neglect. Bois Sauvage is not simply struck by a natural disaster—it is already in a state of ecological and infrastructural collapse before the storm arrives. Ward's prose is lyrical, corporeal, and laden with metaphor. Esch frequently compares her body and her surroundings to mythic figures, particularly Medea, whose violent motherhood resonates with her own inner conflict. This mythological register enables Ward to elevate her protagonist's experience while also destabilizing rational, distanced responses to climate disaster. As Heather Houser writes, "climate change requires new modes of feeling, not just new facts" (Houser 2014, 280). Ward delivers this new mode through a narrative voice that collapses the divide between physical vulnerability and poetic vision. Esch's narration is suffused with the elemental—mud, blood, water, sweat—and yet never collapses into fatalism. Her sensual descriptions of place ("the trees are full and fat with leaves, their green dark with drink") foreground an environmental intimacy that contrasts sharply with media portrayals of Katrina's victims as passive or helpless.

The storm itself occupies only the penultimate chapter, but its buildup saturates the novel's emotional tone and creates a strong feeling of anticipation. Readers experience Katrina not as breaking news but as atmosphere, a slow-building dread that mirrors Rob Nixon's aforementioned concept of "slow violence" (Nixon 2011, 3). The novel resists melodrama: it renders devastation with painful restraint, allowing the affective weight to accumulate through rhythm, repetition, and sensory overload. When the waters finally rise, they do so in silence: "The world is quiet, except for the roaring of the trees" (Ward 2011, 240). This moment of uncanny stillness—a sensory disruption—marks the hurricane's arrival as sublime, eerie, and ethically charged.

Significantly, *Salvage the Bones* disrupts not only emotional expectations but narrative hierarchies. Katrina is not the sole antagonist, nor is it fully anthropomorphized. Rather, Ward's critique is aimed at the conditions of abandonment that render poor Black communities more vulnerable to environmental shocks. This critique resonates with Kyle Powys Whyte's analysis of "disaster colonialism," wherein climate emergencies are naturalized and depoliticized to obscure their roots in historical injustice (Whyte 2018, 156). The affective labor performed by the novel—its deep immersion in Esch's interiority and her family's dynamics—refuses this depoliticization, foregrounding the emotional and ethical stakes of environmental inequality. At the same time, Ward's portrayal of kinship, care giving, and interdependence signals a potential ethics of survival. Despite hardship and loss, the Batiste family does not fragment; on the contrary, they adapt, grieve, and endure. In this way, the novel aligns with Donna Haraway's call to "stay with the trouble"—to recognize entanglement rather than retreat into narrative closure or false innocence (Haraway 2016, 1–3). Ward's affective disruption does not paralyze the reader; it reorients emotional attention toward neglected lifeworlds, forging an intimate climate consciousness grounded in race, class, and care.

Through its lyrical register, political depth, and emotional precision, *Salvage the Bones* exemplifies affective disruption as a mode of literary resistance. Ward transforms the storm from a singular, meteorological event into a continuum of ecological vulnerability and human resilience. Rather than portray Hurricane Katrina as a rupture or anomaly, she frames it as the culmination of multiple factors: systemic neglect, infrastructural abandonment, and intergenerational trauma. The storm is not merely something that happens to the Batiste family; it is an intensification of the forces they already live under. This continuity between the "natural" disaster and everyday precarity effectively disrupts the disaster capitalism narrative that often portrays environmental devastation as sudden, unpredictable, or apolitical. In doing so, Ward contributes to what Sarah Jaquette Ray terms "climate justice storytelling"—narratives that center the embodied, emotional experience of

frontline communities rather than abstract statistical frames (Ray 2020, 78–79). The novel insists that environmental suffering cannot be separated from histories of race, poverty, and disenfranchisement. By immersing the reader in Esch’s subjectivity, in her desires and fears, Ward dislocates the clinical detachment often found in media representations of the storm. She demands that readers not only witness the storm’s impact, but inhabit its emotional reverberations, including grief, shame, endurance, and love. This is the work of affective disruption: to dissolve the safe distance between audience and subject, between catastrophe and feeling.

The ethical significance of this disruption lies in its refusal to reduce the Batiste family to victims or symbols. Ward resists the tropes of trauma voyeurism and redemptive suffering. Instead, she crafts a narrative that honors complexity and insists on the emotional intelligence of its characters. In particular, the figure of Esch—a young Black girl navigating the intersecting vulnerabilities of race, gender, and class—compels the reader to confront the psychic toll of environmental collapse as it is experienced by those least responsible for it. As such, *Salvage the Bones* becomes a form of narrative witnessing, what Renée Lertzman might describe as a “holding environment” where ecological grief can be processed rather than denied (Lertzman 2015, 99). The emotional labor performed by the novel becomes a political gesture, reclaiming agency through vulnerability and language. By cultivating emotional depth rather than spectacle, Ward expands the ethical terrain of climate fiction and challenges readers to feel, remember, and act differently. Her lyrical style does not aestheticize suffering but activates the reader’s capacity for empathy and moral reflection. In an age saturated with climate statistics, predictive models, and technocratic discourse, Ward’s work reminds us that the future is not simply a problem to be solved—it is a set of lived experiences shaped by memory, care, and loss. The affective disruptions in *Salvage the Bones* thus serve as both an indictment of environmental injustice and a call to attune ourselves to the emotional truths obscured by policy frameworks and ideological rhetoric. Literature, in this model, becomes a site for cultivating emotional resilience and ecological solidarity, one reader at a time.

V. Counter-Hegemonic Storytelling in *Escaped Alone*: Theatre, Satire, and the End of the World

Caryl Churchill’s *Escaped Alone* (2016) offers a starkly different medium and scale than the sprawling prose narratives of Powers or Ward, yet it is no less politically incisive in its engagement with ecological crisis. A one-act play set in a backyard where four elderly women engage in seemingly trivial conversation, *Escaped Alone* juxtaposes mundane domesticity with surreal apocalyptic monologues delivered by the character Mrs. Jarrett. Through this jarring duality, Churchill constructs a form of counter-hegemonic storytelling that subverts narrative norms, resists systemic denial, and refuses the affective flattening of environmental catastrophe. Churchill’s work has long been associated with experimental form and feminist politics, but *Escaped Alone* moves beyond gender critique to stage a meditation on the Anthropocene’s multifaceted ruptures. The play’s fragmented structure and surreal tone align with what Una Chaudhuri calls ecodramaturgy: theatre that stages “the entanglements of human and nonhuman worlds, often by destabilizing anthropocentric assumptions” (Chaudhuri 2014, 33). In *Escaped Alone*, these entanglements are made visible not through spectacle but through discontinuity: the play begins as unremarkable garden chatter between four elderly women seated comfortably in a suburban backyard: Mrs. Jarrett, Sally, Lena, and Vi. Their dialogue is understated, almost banal, centering on memory, cats, television, and aging bodies. But this surface of domestic familiarity is repeatedly ruptured by Mrs. Jarrett’s monologues, which describe surreal, dystopian scenarios in a deadpan register. These abrupt shifts in tone and content create a formal jolt—what Hans-Thies Lehmann would identify as postdramatic disjunction, wherein “narrative coherence is sacrificed in favor of fragmentation, simultaneity, and shock” (Lehmann 2006, 27). Each of Jarrett’s visions introduces a different kind of apocalypse, but they are all subtle; none is framed as definitive or totalizing. Instead, Churchill offers a fragmented montage of systemic collapse: people poisoned by contaminated water sold as luxury beverages, digital identities reduced to barcodes in a privatized justice system and entire populations buried under landslides of consumer waste. One passage describes a dystopia in which:

“All the food was grown in individual graves, marked with the names of the donors” (*Escaped Alone*, p. 21). This grotesque image collapses consumerism, necropolitics, and environmental degradation into a single ironic tableau. Its description makes it both absurd and horrifying. By refusing narrative continuity or causal explanation, Churchill undermines the audience’s expectation of catharsis or moral resolution. Instead, the monologues accumulate like symptoms in a cultural diagnosis, forming a palimpsest of late-capitalist ruin. These dystopias are not just imagined futures but exaggerated extensions of present realities, and the affectless tone with which Jarrett delivers them reflects the psychic normalization of catastrophe. Her voice is not alarmed but resigned; it feels as if she is calmly cataloguing the unthinkable. This tonal flatness functions as what Lauren Berlant terms “crisis ordinariness”: the idea that contemporary subjects are habituated to disaster, managing emotional overwhelm by muting affect (Berlant 2011, 10). Churchill thus stages not only the facts of planetary collapse but the psychological numbing that accompanies them. Moreover, the incoherence of these monologues (as each one is unrelated to the last in logic or setting) displaces the notion of a singular, spectacular apocalypse. As Claire Colebrook argues, the Anthropocene requires us to abandon the fantasy of a climactic, cinematic “end of the world” in favor of thinking in terms of slow, multiple, unevenly distributed endings (Colebrook 2017, 5–6). Churchill’s fragmented visions mirror this conceptual shift: each monologue sketches a different axis of collapse (ecological, technological, political), and together they suggest not a single event but a polyphonic unraveling of the systems underpinning modern life.

This refusal of coherence is itself a counter-hegemonic gesture. Traditional apocalyptic narratives often culminate in renewal, redemption, or return. By contrast, *Escaped Alone* offers no redemption, no solution, and no closure. The dystopias do not evolve or intersect; they remain unresolved, hovering over the play like static. This narrative withholding denies the audience any interpretive resting place, compelling them to reckon with both the affective impact of catastrophe and the ideological structures that seek to contain or sanitize it. For example, Mrs. Jarrett recounts:

“The hunger began when there was no more hunger and the food was free but the people weren’t.” (*Escaped Alone*, p. 19)

This line exemplifies Churchill’s tactic of linguistic estrangement: the logic is internally consistent but surreal, forcing audiences to reckon with absurdist consequences of neoliberal excess. Such techniques mirror what Frederic Jameson identifies as the cognitive estrangement of dystopian and science fiction genres, wherein the familiar is rendered strange to provoke critical reflection (Jameson 2005, 199). Churchill updates this estrangement through fragmentation and minimalism, disallowing narrative closure or emotional catharsis. At the same time, the play’s casual domestic scenes are filled with latent anxieties (Sally’s phobia of cats, Vi’s criminal past, Lena’s agoraphobia) which allegorize a different mode of psychic or civic breakdown. As Deborah R. Geis observes, the dialogue between the women functions like “a chorus of disassociated selves, speaking past one another,” reflecting the atomization of late capitalist subjectivity (Geis 2019, 52). This choral fragmentation is not a failure of empathy but a strategy of resistance: the characters’ fragmented selves echo the larger social inability to process interconnected crises.

What makes *Escaped Alone* profoundly counter-hegemonic is its refusal of dominant affective regimes. Unlike mainstream environmental narratives that lean toward alarmism or redemptive closure, Churchill’s play remains suspended in ambivalence, irony, and quiet horror. As Claire Colebrook argues, irony may be the most appropriate aesthetic response to the Anthropocene, where “catastrophe is both entirely predictable and utterly unimaginable” (Colebrook 2017, 8). Churchill’s dissonant tonal palette deflates grand narratives of either salvation or apocalypse, replacing them with episodic absurdity and quiet revelation. Moreover, the play’s staging reinforces its critique of power and perception. The audience is positioned as eavesdroppers, privy to conversations that reveal more than the speakers intend. The women never comment on the monologues; they

continue sipping tea, oblivious or willfully detached. This dramatizes a key dynamic of the climate crisis: the simultaneous presence of knowledge and inaction, what Renée Lertzman calls the “environmental melancholia” that paralyzes political will (Lertzman 2015, 63). Churchill’s form, which withholds interpretive guidance, demands that audiences confront their own interpretive dissonance.

Thematically, *Escaped Alone* aligns with Donna Haraway’s call to “stay with the trouble,” to resist the utopian impulse and instead dwell in complexity, contradiction, and multispecies awareness (Haraway 2016, 2–3). While no animals appear onstage, the nonhuman is everywhere in the monologues: birds that disappear, viruses that mutate, waste that circulates. These vignettes highlight the distributed agency of catastrophe, dismantling the anthropocentric narrative in which human mastery is presumed. In this way, Churchill’s satire becomes ecological, disrupting the hierarchical storytelling conventions that render nonhuman entities passive or absent.

In sum, *Escaped Alone* exemplifies counter-hegemonic storytelling through formal fragmentation, tonal irony, and epistemic dissonance. Churchill’s dramaturgy deliberately abandons the traditional scaffolding of theatrical coherence, (which involves exposition, rising action and resolution) in favor of a recursive, minimalist structure that foregrounds rupture over continuity. In this sense, the play stages not the event of apocalypse, but the condition of post-apocalyptic consciousness: an affective and cognitive state in which the real and the absurd coexist, and the boundary between them is no longer clear or stable. The spectators are not guided toward revelation or catharsis; instead, they are positioned within a dramaturgical space of ontological instability, where the banality of garden gossip bleeds into visions of global collapse, and where neither sphere offers interpretive closure. This destabilization has profound epistemological consequences. By rejecting the unified narrative arc that undergirds most representations of disaster, where cause leads to effect, and crisis culminates in resolution, Churchill dramatizes the impossibility of making sense of the Anthropocene through inherited dramaturgical forms. Her refusal to soothe, explain, or resolve is not a failure of artistic coherence but a deliberate provocation aimed at the audience’s interpretive habits. The spectator, faced with the fragmentation and tonal dissonance of the play, is forced to assume an active hermeneutic role. Churchill creates a space in which multiple, often incompatible meanings must be held in tension.

As Chaudhuri and Enelow suggest, such theatrical forms offer “affective maps” for navigating a world in crisis—maps that are not prescriptive but experiential, guiding the audience through ambiguity, contradiction, and affective dislocation (Chaudhuri and Enelow 2014, xii). The play does not depict characters who respond to apocalypse in conventional ways—through panic, flight, or resistance—but characters who live alongside it, who continue to converse, reminisce, and tend to their fears even as their world dissolves around them. The women in *Escaped Alone* do not deny catastrophe; rather, they absorb it into their quotidian rituals, illustrating how psychic accommodation to collapse becomes a mode of survival. In this way, Churchill undermines the fantasy of narrative control that has long structured both political discourse and literary form. The play offers no “solution” to ecological devastation, because its primary concern is not remediation but recognition: the recognition that meaning, in a disintegrating world, must be constructed from fragments and maintained in irony. By presenting apocalypse not as spectacle but as background noise—repetitive, absurd, numbing—*Escaped Alone* mirrors the psychological condition of contemporary ecological consciousness. The play thus becomes a rehearsal space for what Donna Haraway calls “staying with the trouble”: resisting the desire for neat endings and instead learning to inhabit complexity, contradiction, and partial knowledge (Haraway 2016, 2–3).

This dramaturgical strategy is not escapist but deeply political. As Renée Lertzman argues, denial is often less about ignorance than about the lack of emotional frameworks to metabolize environmental grief and anxiety (Lertzman 2015, 89). Churchill confronts this paralysis not by offering catharsis, but by forcing spectators to sit with disorientation, absurdity, and unresolved tension. In doing so, she resists the ideological impulse to turn climate catastrophe into a spectacle of redemption, punishment, or restoration—narrative tropes that ultimately

re-center human exceptionalism. Instead, *Escaped Alone* cultivates a form of ecological spectatorship grounded in attentiveness, discomfort, and radical interpretive agency. It is in this refusal to console, this staging of incoherence and irony, that Churchill's counter-hegemonic storytelling does its most profound ethical work.

VI. Narrative Ethics in the Age of Ecological Disinformation

If the Anthropocene represents not only an ecological crisis but also a breakdown of epistemic consensus and ethical orientation, then literature's role in reactivating moral imagination becomes both urgent and indispensable. The works examined in this study—*The Overstory*, *Salvage the Bones*, and *Escaped Alone*—do not merely reflect environmental collapse; they cultivate interpretive practices that resist simplification and denial, forcing the reader to take a stance. Through formal experimentation and thematic density, these texts reassert literature's power to train readers in the ethical labor of attention and empathy. Martha Nussbaum, in *Love's Knowledge*, famously argues that narrative fiction offers a unique arena for moral development: by inviting readers to inhabit unfamiliar interiorities and navigate complex ethical dilemmas, literature functions as a "laboratory for moral judgment" (Nussbaum 1990, 47). In the context of climate change, where disinformation thrives on affective detachment and tribal identity, this moral training is especially vital. The novels and plays examined here do not prescribe moral answers; rather, they demand that readers dwell in contradiction and ambiguity. As Paul Ricoeur would have it, they foster narrative identity, a process of self-understanding built through sustained engagement with alternative perspectives and temporalities (Ricoeur 1992, 147–148). This interpretive work becomes even more necessary when the ecological crisis is framed, as Andreas Malm and Naomi Oreskes suggest, as a battle over knowledge itself. Climate denial is not merely a rejection of data, but a coordinated attempt to delegitimize the frameworks—scientific, ethical, epistemological—that allow us to interpret that data meaningfully (Malm 2021; Oreskes and Conway 2010). Within this informational battlefield, literature can function as a counter-technology: a slow, affectively resonant form of meaning-making that refuses the compression and virality of algorithmic content.

The concept of ethical witnessing, drawn from trauma studies and Indigenous philosophy, proves especially useful here. In *Salvage the Bones*, Esch's lyrical narration bears witness not only to the event of Hurricane Katrina but to the longue durée of racialized environmental violence. The reader is not asked to consume the storm as spectacle but to share in the emotional and bodily precarity it reveals. Similarly, *The Overstory* teaches a form of "tree ethics," in which the nonhuman is no longer a mute backdrop but a moral agent. By refusing to subordinate arboreal time to human drama, Powers confronts readers with the limits of empathy and the demands of ecological humility. In *Escaped Alone*, Churchill stages the failure of traditional ethical narratives altogether. Her dystopian monologues deny the reader any moral clarity; instead, they offer what Adriana Cavarero calls horrorism, a mode of storytelling that registers the collapse of the very frameworks through which ethical meaning is normally produced (Cavarero 2009, 7). The absurdity, repetition, and tonal flatness of Churchill's vision reflect not nihilism but a different kind of ethics: one rooted in endurance, relation, and attentiveness to the unspoken.

Another advantage that literature has is that it resists the instrumentalization of affect that characterizes much climate communication. As Sarah Jaquette Ray notes, affect in the Anthropocene is often managed, manipulated, and redirected toward despair or individual guilt, responses that inhibit collective action (Ray 2020, 102). Fiction, by contrast, allows for "nonproductive" emotions: grief, wonder, rage, irony. These affective textures are not errors to be overcome but vital ethical responses to ecological collapse. They create space for what Haraway calls "response-ability", a situated, embodied mode of ethical engagement grounded in care and partial knowledge (Haraway 2016, 34). Moreover, these texts restore slowness to the act of reading. In a media ecology dominated by speed, distraction, and virality, where news cycles refresh by the hour and streaming platforms optimize for continuous play, the novel and the stage demand temporal deceleration. Powers's arboreal chapters linger over centuries-long growth rings; Ward's twelve-day countdown to Katrina

stretches individual sensations (heat, humidity, the metallic taste of fear) into pages of sensuous detail; Churchill's terse backyard pauses leave audiences suspended in pockets of silence between catastrophic monologues. This deliberate pacing frustrates habits of skim-reading and multitasking, compelling readers and spectators to dwell, double back, reread, and sit with uncertainty. Such a temporal shift, as Mark Fisher suggests, is political: it carves out a zone of reflection where alternatives to capitalist realism and eco-apathy can be imagined (Fisher 2009, 75–77). Slowness resists the algorithmic compression of experience; it reclaims interpretive agency by foregrounding ambiguity rather than suppressing it—training readers not in certainty but in the patient discernment of complexity. Slowness also performs an ethical recalibration. Martha Nussbaum reminds us that sustained narrative engagement fosters the “loving attention” necessary for moral imagination (Nussbaum 1990, 47). By elongating time, these works create a hospitable environment for empathy to take root: we are invited to feel the crawl of sap inside a tree trunk, the ache of post-storm grief in *Bois Sauvage*, the muted terror beneath Churchill's garden gossip. The reader's forced deceleration becomes a rehearsal for ecological responsiveness, mirroring the slow temporalities of climate processes themselves—glacial melt, coral bleaching, species migration—phenomena that cannot be apprehended through sound bites or headline metrics alone.

In all three works, then, we encounter what might be called ethical estrangement: narrative forms that estrange the audience not for shock value, but to reactivate ethical perception in a disoriented world. *The Overstory* estranges by de-privileging human protagonists; *Salvage the Bones* estranges by saturating catastrophe with intimate, bodily lyricism; *Escaped Alone* estranges by fracturing theatrical coherence. These strategies do not restore epistemic certainty or moral clarity—indeed, they often intensify ambiguity—but they accomplish something equally vital: they render uncertainty meaningful, affect legible, and slowness powerful. In doing so, they reopen the perceptual channels that relentless media churn tends to close, cultivating what Donna Haraway terms “response-ability,” a situated readiness to respond to more-than-human urgencies (Haraway 2016, 34). Such ethical estrangement serves as a counter-practice to ecological disinformation and denial. Where climate misinformation thrives on outrage cycles, simplistic binaries, and the promise of quick fixes, these literary works insist on nuance, indeterminacy, and the long view. They train readers to inhabit contradictory feelings—hope and dread, wonder and grief—without resolving them prematurely. By slowing the pulse of interpretation and intensifying affective texture, the texts create the conditions under which ethics, in the face of planetary disorientation, can still be practiced. They are no longer seen as a doctrine, but rather as an ongoing, attentive negotiation with a world whose future remains radically open and whose continued survival depends on the decisions of the people living in it.

REFERENCES

- [1] Chakrabarty, Dipesh. *The Climate of History in a Planetary Age*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2021.
- [2] Clark, Timothy. *Ecocriticism on the Edge: The Anthropocene as a Threshold Concept*. London: Bloomsbury Academic, 2015.
- [3] Malm, Andreas. *How to Blow Up a Pipeline: Learning to Fight in a World on Fire*. London: Verso, 2021.
- [4] Nixon, Rob. *Slow Violence and the Environmentalism of the Poor*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2011.
- [5] Ghosh, Amitav. *The Great Derangement: Climate Change and the Unthinkable*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2016.
- [6] Powers, Richard. *The Overstory*. New York: W. W. Norton, 2018.
- [7] Ward, Jesmyn. *Salvage the Bones*. New York: Bloomsbury, 2011.
- [8] Churchill, Caryl. *Escaped Alone*. London: Nick Hern Books, 2016.
- [9] Morton, Timothy. *Hyperobjects: Philosophy and Ecology after the End of the World*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2013.

-
- [10] Albrecht, Glenn A. *Earth Emotions: New Words for a New World*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press, 2019.
- [11] Haraway, Donna J. *Staying with the Trouble: Making Kin in the Chthulucene*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2016.
- [12] Ray, Sarah Jaquette. *A Field Guide to Climate Anxiety: How to Keep Your Cool on a Warming Planet*. Oakland: University of California Press, 2020.
- [13] Whyte, Kyle Powys. "Indigenous Climate Change Studies: Indigenizing Futures, Decolonizing the Anthropocene." *English Language Notes* 56, no. 1 (2018): 153–162.
- [14] Heise, Ursula K. *Sense of Place and Sense of Planet: The Environmental Imagination of the Global*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2008.
- [15] Deleuze, Gilles, and Félix Guattari. *A Thousand Plateaus: Capitalism and Schizophrenia*. Translated by Brian Massumi. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 1987.
- [16] van Dooren, Thom. *Flight Ways: Life and Loss at the Edge of Extinction*. New York: Columbia University Press, 2014.
- [17] Simard, Suzanne. *Finding the Mother Tree: Discovering the Wisdom of the Forest*. New York: Knopf, 2021.
- [18] Schneider-Mayerson, Matthew. "The Influence of Climate Fiction: An Empirical Survey of Readers." *Environmental Humanities* 12, no. 2 (2020): 285–307. DOI: 10.1215/22011919-8617778
- [19] Heise, Ursula K. *Imagining Extinction: The Cultural Meanings of Endangered Species*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2016.
- [20] Ahmed, Sara. *The Cultural Politics of Emotion*. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press, 2004.
- [21] Yusoff, Kathryn. *A Billion Black Anthropocenes or None*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press, 2018.
- [22] Houser, Heather. "Aesthetic Scarcity and the Limits of Environmental Memory." *American Literary History* 26, no. 2 (2014): 280–295. DOI: 10.1093/alh/aju017
- [23] Lertzman, Renée. *Environmental Melancholia: Psychoanalytic Dimensions of Engagement*. New York: Routledge, 2015.
- [24] Chaudhuri, Una, and Elinor Fuchs, eds. *Land/Scape/Theater*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press, 2002.
- [25] Lehmann, Hans-Thies. *Postdramatic Theatre*. Translated by Karen Jürs-Munby. London: Routledge, 2006.
- [26] Berlant, Lauren. *Cruel Optimism*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press, 2011.
- [27] Colebrook, Claire. *Death of the PostHuman: Essays on Extinction, Vol. 1*. Ann Arbor: Open Humanities Press, 2014.
- [28] Jameson, Fredric. *Archaeologies of the Future: The Desire Called Utopia and Other Science Fictions*. London: Verso, 2005.
- [29] Geis, Deborah R. "Escaped Alone and the Theater of Late Capitalism." In *Caryl Churchill: Contemporary Representations*, edited by Elaine Aston and Elin Diamond, 47–62. London: Methuen Drama, 2019.
- [30] Nussbaum, Martha C. *Love's Knowledge: Essays on Philosophy and Literature*. New York: Oxford University Press, 1990.
- [31] Ricoeur, Paul. *Oneself as Another*. Translated by Kathleen Blamey. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1992.
- [32] Cavarero, Adriana. *Horrorism: Naming Contemporary Violence*. Translated by William McCuaig. New York: Columbia University Press, 2009.
- [33] Oreskes, Naomi, and Erik M. Conway. *Merchants of Doubt*. New York: Bloomsbury Press, 2010.
- [34] Fisher, Mark. *Capitalist Realism: Is There No Alternative?* Winchester, UK: Zero Books, 2009.