

Capitalism, Socialism, and A Changing Generation: Understanding the Economic Attitudes of Young Americans

Clayton H. Hawkins

Associate Professor, Political Economy, Signum Magnum College, Malta

ABSTRACT: *This article examines changing attitudes toward capitalism and socialism among younger Americans and the economic experiences and changing meanings that may help explain those attitudes. Younger adults are less favorable toward capitalism and more favorable toward socialism than older Americans, yet they continue to express strong support for free enterprise and small business. These findings suggest that changing attitudes toward capitalism and socialism should not be interpreted as direct measures of support for or opposition to the economic systems those terms traditionally describe. Economic experience provides part of the reason. Younger Americans have faced high housing costs, education debt, inflation, and concerns about financial security and economic mobility. These conditions do not establish that economic hardship causes greater support for socialism, but they may influence how younger adults evaluate the economy and what they expect from government. The meanings attached to capitalism and socialism have also changed. Capitalism may be associated with inequality, concentrated wealth, and large corporations. In contrast, socialism may be associated with economic security, equality, health care, education, and other government services rather than government ownership of the economy. The evidence suggests that changing attitudes among younger Americans are better understood as a debate over the respective roles of markets and government than as a rejection of the market economy. Support for greater government involvement in selected areas can coexist with continued support for private ownership, competition, entrepreneurship, and market exchange. Understanding this distinction provides a clearer interpretation of changing economic attitudes among younger Americans.*

KEYWORDS - *capitalism, economic attitudes, free enterprise, socialism, young Americans*

I. INTRODUCTION

Historically, Americans have viewed capitalism more favorably than socialism. For much of the twentieth century, capitalism was associated with economic freedom, private property, entrepreneurship, and the American economic system. Socialism, in comparison, was generally viewed with skepticism. That distinction has become less clear among younger Americans.

Recent public opinion illustrates this change. In Gallup's 2026 survey, 57% of Americans between the ages of 18 and 34 viewed socialism positively, while 43% viewed capitalism positively (Saad, 2026b). Nevertheless, attitudes toward other parts of a market economy remain considerably more favorable. Free enterprise and small business continue to receive strong public support. This raises an important question: If younger Americans are increasingly skeptical of capitalism while continuing to support important elements of a market economy, what do they mean when they use the terms capitalism and socialism?

The distinction matters because these terms do not always mean the same thing to everyone. Capitalism generally refers to an economic system based on private ownership, markets, voluntary exchange, and individual economic decisions. Socialism traditionally emphasizes social, collective, or government ownership or control of significant economic resources and productive activity. In contemporary American political discussion, however, the terms are often used more broadly. Capitalism may be associated with large corporations, inequality, high housing costs, and concentrated wealth. Socialism, in contrast, may be associated with affordable health care, education, economic security, equality, or a stronger social safety net.

Recent survey evidence supports this distinction. When Americans were asked what they considered positive and negative about capitalism, socialism, and free enterprise, their responses showed substantial differences in what they associated with each term. Capitalism and free enterprise were associated with opportunity, innovation, competition, and economic freedom, while capitalism was also more likely to be associated with inequality and disproportionate wealth. Positive views of socialism were more commonly associated with access to basic services, economic security, and greater equality than with government ownership or centralized economic planning (Saad, 2026a). These differences suggest that attitudes toward economic labels may not correspond directly with attitudes toward the institutions those labels traditionally describe.

Changing attitudes toward capitalism and socialism may also reflect concerns about current economic conditions. Younger Americans have entered adulthood during periods marked by rising housing costs, education debt, inflation, disruption from the COVID-19 pandemic, and uncertainty about financial security and economic mobility (Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 2025; Harvard Public Opinion Project, 2025a, 2025b, 2026). These experiences do not establish that economic hardship causes greater support for socialism or declining support for capitalism. They do, however, provide important context for understanding how younger adults evaluate the economy and what they expect from markets and government.

This article examines why attitudes toward capitalism and socialism differ among younger Americans and what those differences may represent. Particular attention is given to their economic experiences, the changing meanings associated with economic labels, and the distinction between attitudes toward capitalism and continued support for markets and free enterprise. The article also considers economic mobility, perceptions of fairness, policy preferences, and the respective roles of markets and government. Together, these issues provide a clearer understanding of changing economic attitudes among younger Americans and what those attitudes may mean for the future of the American economy.

II. CAPITALISM, SOCIALISM, AND FREE ENTERPRISE

In their traditional sense, capitalism and socialism describe two distinct ways of organizing an economy. Capitalism relies on private ownership, free markets, voluntary exchange, and individual economic decisions. Socialism traditionally emphasizes social, collective, or government ownership or control of significant economic resources and productive activity.

In practice, however, modern economies rarely fit completely within either model. The United States has a predominantly market-based economy, with the government playing a significant role in taxation, regulation, public education, Social Security, Medicare, public infrastructure, and other programs. Countries with larger welfare states also maintain private businesses, private property, markets, and entrepreneurship. Support for government programs, therefore, does not necessarily mean support for replacing a market economy with socialism.

This distinction is important when examining public opinion. Gallup has found that Americans respond differently to the terms capitalism and free enterprise, even though the two share many characteristics. In 2025, 54% of Americans viewed capitalism positively, compared with 81% who viewed free enterprise positively. Among adults between the ages of 18 and 34, the difference was even greater: 43% viewed capitalism positively, while 71% viewed free enterprise positively (Jones, 2025).

These differences suggest that the perception of economic labels does not always match attitudes toward economic activity. A person may dislike what the term capitalism represents while continuing to support private businesses, entrepreneurship, competition, consumer choice, and voluntary exchange. Support for small business

reinforces this distinction. In the same 2025 Gallup survey, 95% of Americans viewed small business positively, including 95% of adults between the ages of 18 and 34 (Jones, 2025).

More recent Gallup research provides additional insight. When Americans were asked what they associated with capitalism and free enterprise, they said opportunity, innovation, and economic freedom. Capitalism, however, was also more likely to be associated with inequality and disproportionate wealth. When respondents identified positive aspects of socialism, they mentioned access to basic services, economic security, and greater equality rather than government ownership of businesses and centralized economic planning (Saad, 2026a).

These findings suggest that attitudes toward economic labels should be distinguished from attitudes toward the institutions and activities associated with them. Younger Americans may respond differently to the terms capitalism, socialism, and free enterprise even when their economic preferences overlap.

The question, then, is not simply why younger Americans are more favorable to socialism or less favorable toward capitalism. It is also why these changing attitudes coexist with continued support for free enterprise and a market economy.

III. THE ECONOMIC EXPERIENCE OF YOUNGER AMERICANS

Attitudes toward capitalism and socialism may be influenced by the economic conditions younger Americans have experienced. Economic systems are encountered not simply through abstract definitions but through employment, wages, housing, education, health care, and opportunities to become financially independent. When these needs and opportunities become more difficult to obtain, perceptions of the economy may change.

Recent surveys show considerable economic uncertainty among younger Americans. The 2026 Harvard Youth Poll found that 45% of Americans between the ages of 18 and 29 described themselves as either struggling to make ends meet or getting by with little financial security. Inflation and housing costs were major concerns. Half reported being affected "a lot" by inflation, while 41% said the same about rising housing prices (Harvard Public Opinion Project, 2026).

Housing, in particular, provides one of the clearest examples of economic uncertainty. Homeownership has traditionally been an important path toward financial stability and building wealth in the United States. Rising home prices and the cost of purchasing a home, however, have made homeownership increasingly difficult for many younger adults.

Education presents another challenge. Higher education has been viewed as a path toward higher earnings and economic mobility, but it has also required students to borrow substantial amounts of money. Among adults under 30 who had attended college, 42% reported in 2024 that they had borrowed money for their education. Among those who still owed money, the median amount of education debt fell between \$20,000 and \$24,999 (Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 2025).

Concerns about future economic mobility accompany these pressures. In the fall of 2025, only 30% of young Americans surveyed by Harvard believed they would eventually be financially better off than their parents. Financial insecurity was especially common among young adults without college degrees. Economic concerns also crossed political lines. Inflation and housing concerns were the leading economic concerns among young Democrats, Republicans, and Independents (Harvard Public Opinion Project, 2025b).

These conditions do not establish that economic hardship leads to greater support for socialism. They do, however, provide important context for understanding how younger Americans evaluate the economy. Concerns about housing, education debt, financial security, and economic mobility may affect perceptions of whether the economic system provides reasonable opportunities for advancement. These concerns may also provide context for understanding why greater support for government involvement in selected areas can coexist with continued support for private enterprise and markets.

IV. WHAT DOES SOCIALISM MEAN TO YOUNGER AMERICANS?

Growing acceptance of socialism among younger Americans raises an important question: What does the term actually mean to them? Traditional definitions of socialism emphasize government or collective ownership of

economic resources. Recent surveys, however, suggest that Americans who view socialism favorably may define the term differently from its traditional meaning.

Gallup's 2026 survey provides useful evidence. When respondents were asked to identify positive aspects of socialism in their own words, common responses included access to health care, education, housing, and other basic services, along with greater economic equality. Younger adults were more likely than older adults to identify greater equality and access to basic services as positive aspects of socialism. Negative responses included concerns about government control, weaker incentives to work, economic inefficiency, and restrictions on individual freedom (Saad, 2026a).

Earlier research found similar differences in how Americans understood the term. Pew Research Center (2019) found that people who viewed socialism favorably often described it as creating a fairer society or improving upon capitalism. Those who viewed it negatively were more likely to associate it with government dependence, weaker incentives to work, and the historical failures of socialist systems. Americans can therefore use the same term while understanding its economic meaning in very different ways.

The Scandinavian countries illustrate this distinction. Denmark and Sweden are sometimes described as socialist economies because of their extensive social welfare programs and relatively large government role in providing public services. Both countries, however, maintain private property, privately owned businesses, competitive markets, and international trade (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development [OECD], 2025, 2026). An extensive welfare state, therefore, is not the same as an economic system based primarily on government ownership.

This distinction helps explain why greater acceptance of socialism among younger Americans does not necessarily indicate support for replacing markets or private ownership. For some younger Americans, the term may instead be associated with greater economic security, expanded government services, or a larger government role in selected areas of the economy.

V. THE CHANGING IMAGE OF CAPITALISM

Understanding the growing acceptance of socialism also requires examining the changing image of capitalism. Although younger Americans are less favorable toward capitalism, their attitudes toward specific elements of a market economy are considerably more positive.

Gallup's findings show the difference. In 2025, 54% of Americans viewed capitalism positively, the lowest level Gallup had recorded since it began asking the question in 2010. By comparison, 81% viewed free enterprise positively and 95% viewed small business positively. Only 37% viewed big business positively (Jones, 2025). These differences suggest that Americans distinguish among capitalism, free enterprise, small business, and big business.

Younger Americans also recognize benefits associated with capitalism. Gallup found that adults between the ages of 18 and 34 were more likely than those 55 and older to identify innovation and efficiency as strengths of capitalism (Saad, 2026a). This suggests that less favorable views of capitalism among younger adults can coexist with recognition of some of its economic benefits.

Gallup's findings also help explain the distinction between attitudes toward capitalism and free enterprise. When Gallup asked Americans what they considered good and bad about capitalism and free enterprise, respondents identified many of the same benefits, including opportunity, innovation, competition, and economic freedom. The differences became clearer when they discussed the disadvantages. Twenty-three percent identified inequality or disproportionate wealth as a problem with capitalism, compared with only 9% who said the same about free enterprise. Respondents also raised concerns about greed, corporate power, monopolies, and market abuses (Saad, 2026a).

These findings suggest that the word capitalism has taken on meanings beyond markets and private ownership. For some Americans, it now represents large corporations, concentrated wealth, inequality, and corporate power. Free enterprise, by contrast, appears more closely connected with competition, consumer choice,

entrepreneurship, and economic freedom. While the two concepts overlap, Americans appear to view the labels differently.

The changing image of capitalism therefore raises a broader question about economic opportunity. Attitudes toward the economic system may depend partly on whether people believe it continues to provide meaningful opportunities for advancement.

VI. ECONOMIC MOBILITY AND THE PERCEPTION OF FAIRNESS

Economic inequality and economic mobility are distinct concepts. Differences in income and wealth can exist even when individuals have opportunities to improve their economic position. For attitudes toward capitalism, the important question may be less whether outcomes are equal than whether people believe they have a reasonable chance to move ahead. Education, employment, homeownership, entrepreneurship, and saving have traditionally provided pathways toward greater financial security and economic advancement. When these opportunities appear increasingly difficult to reach, confidence in the economic system may weaken.

Recent evidence shows that younger adults report lower levels of financial well-being than older Americans. In the Federal Reserve's 2024 Survey of Household Economics and Decision-Making, 66% of adults between the ages of 18 and 29 reported that they were doing okay financially or living comfortably, compared with 84% of adults aged 60 and older (Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, 2025).

Other surveys show similar concerns. The 2025 Harvard Youth Poll found that 86% of Americans under 30 considered financial security important, while 74% considered homeownership important. At the same time, more than four in ten described themselves as struggling to make ends meet or getting by with limited financial security. Financial difficulties were especially common among young adults without college degrees (Harvard Public Opinion Project, 2025a).

These findings matter because perceptions of economic mobility can shape how people evaluate the economic system. Research has also linked perceptions of intergenerational mobility to preferences for redistribution (Alesina et al., 2018).

Perceptions of fairness also matter. Economic differences may be viewed differently when people believe competition is open and opportunities for advancement are widely available. Perceptions of fairness may also be affected when government policies provide economic advantages to particular individuals, firms, or industries. One example is rent-seeking, in which individuals or firms pursue economic advantages through government policy or regulation rather than through productive economic activity (Krueger, 1974).

Economic mobility therefore provides an important context for understanding attitudes toward both capitalism and socialism. When people become less confident that work, education, saving, or entrepreneurship will improve their economic circumstances, they may evaluate both the economic system and the role of government differently. For younger Americans, the underlying issue may be less whether economic outcomes are equal than whether meaningful opportunities for advancement are available.

VII. MARKETS, GOVERNMENT, AND ECONOMIC OUTCOMES

Economic outcomes in the United States reflect the interaction of markets and government policy. Taxes, regulations, subsidies, licensing requirements, zoning laws, and other policies influence prices, competition, investment, and business activity. Conditions within a market economy therefore cannot always be attributed to market forces alone.

Government involvement can serve important purposes. Markets may not always produce efficient outcomes, particularly when competition is limited, consumers lack important information, or economic activity imposes costs on others. Government also protects property rights, enforces contracts, provides public services, and establishes rules for economic activity. In these ways, government can help markets function.

Government policies can also produce unintended costs or barriers. Regulations may increase the cost of starting a business or entering an occupation. Subsidies can favor particular industries or groups. Licensing

requirements can protect consumers when specialized training is necessary, but excessive requirements can also restrict entry into occupations and reduce competition. The effects depend on the specific policy and how it is designed.

Housing provides a clear example. High housing costs are a major concern for younger adults trying to rent or purchase their first home. Both market conditions and government policies can affect housing costs and availability. Housing supply can be influenced by land availability, construction and financing costs, zoning, permitting requirements, and other regulations that affect where and how much housing can be built (U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development [HUD], 2023).

The relationship between markets and government is therefore more complicated than a choice between capitalism and socialism. The American economy combines private enterprise with government involvement, and economic outcomes often reflect both. People may experience these economic outcomes without clearly distinguishing between the effects of market forces and government policy.

Economic outcomes are therefore better understood by examining the effects of particular policies and market conditions rather than attributing those outcomes broadly to either markets or government. A policy may improve competition, protect the public, or expand economic opportunity, but it may also create costs or barriers that affect those same outcomes. This interaction helps explain why Americans can support market institutions while disagreeing considerably about the appropriate role of government.

VIII. THE GENERATIONAL DIVIDE

Differences between younger and older Americans in their attitudes toward capitalism and socialism may also reflect differences in historical and economic experience. For many older Americans, views of socialism developed during the Cold War, when the term was closely associated with government ownership, central economic planning, and the Soviet Union. Younger Americans have had less direct exposure to that historical context, while recent debates over socialism often focus on health care, education, equality, and economic security. These different experiences may help explain why attitudes toward the same economic labels vary substantially across age groups.

The age differences are substantial. In Gallup's 2026 survey, 43% of Americans between the ages of 18 and 34 viewed capitalism positively, compared with 57% who viewed socialism positively (Saad, 2026b). Among Americans age 55 and older, attitudes were considerably different, with capitalism viewed more favorably and socialism less favorably. At the same time, younger Americans continued to express strong support for other elements associated with a market economy, including free enterprise and small business. Less favorable views of capitalism among younger adults therefore do not necessarily indicate rejection of markets or private enterprise.

These differences have been developing for several years. In 2010, Pew Research Center found that Americans under 30 were equally likely to view capitalism and socialism positively, at 43% for each. By 2022, only 40% of adults between the ages of 18 and 29 viewed capitalism positively, compared with 73% of adults age 65 and older. Younger adults were also more likely than older adults to view socialism positively (Pew Research Center, 2010, 2022).

Economic experience provides additional context. Many Millennials entered the workforce during or shortly after the Great Recession, when employment and housing markets were badly disrupted. Younger generations later experienced the COVID-19 pandemic, followed by rising housing prices and increases in the cost of living. These events occurred during important years for beginning careers, forming households, buying homes, and becoming financially independent.

This does not mean earlier generations avoided economic hardship. Older Americans experienced recessions, inflation, unemployment, energy crises, and other periods of economic uncertainty. Nor does economic hardship automatically produce support for socialism. The point is simpler: generations can experience the same economic system under very different conditions, and those experiences may influence how they evaluate it.

The generational divide therefore involves more than different reactions to the words capitalism and socialism. Younger and older Americans have encountered different economic conditions and historical

circumstances that may influence how they interpret these terms and evaluate the institutions associated with them. Understanding those differences requires looking beyond economic labels to the specific policies and economic arrangements people actually support.

IX. ECONOMIC LABELS AND POLICY PREFERENCES

Public attitudes become clearer when economic labels are separated from specific policy preferences. A favorable view of socialism does not necessarily reveal how much government involvement a person supports or what form that involvement should take. Health care provides a useful example.

In a 2025 Pew Research Center survey, 66% of Americans said the federal government has a responsibility to ensure health care coverage for all Americans. Respondents differed, however, over how that responsibility should be carried out. Thirty-five percent favored a single national government insurance program, while 31% preferred a combination of private insurance and government programs. Another 26% supported programs such as Medicare and Medicaid without making the federal government responsible for universal coverage (Daniller, 2025).

The differences among younger adults are particularly relevant. Among adults between the ages of 18 and 29, 74% said the federal government has a responsibility to ensure health coverage. Forty-six percent favored a single national government program, while 28% preferred a combination of private insurance and government programs (Daniller, 2025). Even among younger adults, therefore, broad support for government involvement did not produce agreement about how that role should be structured.

Health care illustrates why economic labels alone provide an incomplete picture of policy preferences. People may favor greater government involvement in a particular area while continuing to support private businesses, markets, and private ownership elsewhere in the economy. Understanding the economic attitudes of younger Americans therefore requires examining the specific responsibilities they assign to government and markets rather than relying primarily on whether they view capitalism or socialism favorably.

X. THE ECONOMIC TRADEOFFS

Greater economic security can be especially appealing to younger adults facing financial uncertainty. Government programs can reduce some of these risks by providing services or financial assistance. Those benefits, however, require resources, and the way programs are funded and designed can affect economic behavior.

Modern economies already combine markets and government programs. Taxes support infrastructure, education, retirement programs, health care, public safety, income assistance, and other services. The economic question therefore involves how much government should provide, how programs should be designed and financed, and what tradeoffs follow.

Expanding government programs requires additional revenue unless the spending is financed through borrowing or reductions elsewhere. Taxes can finance services that benefit individuals and the broader economy, but their effects depend on how revenue is raised and how it is used.

Government benefits can also affect incentives. Programs such as income assistance, health coverage, and unemployment benefits can provide important protection during periods of financial difficulty. Some benefits, however, decrease as income rises. In those cases, earning additional income can result in higher taxes and the loss of benefits, reducing the financial gain from additional earnings.

The effects of government spending also depend on how resources are used. Antolin-Diaz and Surico (2025), for example, found that public research and development spending can produce persistent effects on innovation, private investment, productivity, and economic output, while other forms of government spending have more limited or shorter-lived effects.

These tradeoffs provide a more useful basis for evaluating government programs than the economic labels attached to them. Individual policies can instead be examined according to what they provide, what they cost, how they are financed, and how they affect incentives and economic behavior.

XI. IS AMERICA MOVING TOWARD SOCIALISM?

The growing acceptance of socialism, particularly among younger Americans, raises a larger question: Is the United States actually moving toward socialism? The answer depends largely on what is meant by socialism. If socialism refers to an economic system based primarily on government or collective ownership of productive resources, the evidence reviewed in this article does not establish such a shift. Private ownership, markets, entrepreneurship, and voluntary exchange remain central features of the American economy.

Public opinion reinforces this distinction. Although favorable views of socialism have increased, support for market-oriented institutions remains strong. Younger Americans are more favorable toward socialism and less favorable toward capitalism than older Americans, yet they continue to express substantial support for free enterprise and small business (Jones, 2025; Saad, 2026b). This combination suggests that greater acceptance of socialism as a label should not automatically be interpreted as support for replacing a market economy.

The picture becomes more complicated when socialism is understood more broadly to include expanded government programs, greater regulation, or a larger government role in areas such as health care, education, housing, and income security. Younger Americans often express greater support for government involvement in these areas. Such preferences could increase the government's role within the economy, but they do not necessarily require government ownership of businesses or the replacement of private markets.

The distinction between an expanded government role and a socialist economic system is therefore important. The United States has long combined private enterprise with government regulation, taxation, public services, and social programs. Changes in the size or scope of those programs can alter the balance between markets and government without changing the basic structure of the economic system.

Whether the United States is moving toward socialism therefore cannot be determined simply by measuring the popularity of the term. A more useful measure is whether Americans are moving away from private ownership, competition, entrepreneurship, and market exchange in favor of government ownership or control. The evidence examined here instead suggests an ongoing debate over how responsibilities should be divided between markets and government within a predominantly market-based economy.

XII. DISCUSSION

The evidence reviewed in this article suggests that changing attitudes toward capitalism and socialism are more complicated than a shift from one economic system to another. The central finding is the distinction between attitudes toward economic labels and attitudes toward the institutions and policies those labels traditionally represent. Favorable views of socialism do not necessarily indicate support for government ownership or central economic planning, just as favorable views of capitalism do not necessarily indicate opposition to government programs or economic regulation.

This distinction is particularly important among younger Americans. Capitalism may represent opportunity, entrepreneurship, private enterprise, and economic freedom, but it may also be associated with inequality, concentrated wealth, large corporations, and economic insecurity. Socialism, meanwhile, may be associated with economic security, equality, health care, education, and other government services rather than government ownership of the economy. Reactions to these labels therefore cannot be interpreted without considering what respondents believe the terms represent.

Economic experience provides important context for these attitudes. Younger Americans have entered adulthood during periods marked by the COVID-19 pandemic, rising housing costs, inflation, education debt, and concerns about financial security and economic mobility. These experiences do not establish that economic hardship causes greater support for socialism. They do, however, help explain why economic security, opportunity, and mobility may influence how younger adults evaluate the economy.

The continued support for free enterprise and small business among younger Americans is especially important. It demonstrates that dissatisfaction with capitalism as a label can coexist with support for important

elements of a market economy. Younger adults may favor greater government involvement in selected areas while continuing to support private ownership, entrepreneurship, competition, and market exchange. These preferences are not necessarily contradictory when attitudes toward economic labels are separated from attitudes toward specific institutions and policies.

Generational differences may also reflect differences in historical experience. Many older Americans encountered socialism during the Cold War, when the term was closely associated with the Soviet Union, government ownership, and central economic planning. Younger Americans have had less direct exposure to that historical context, while contemporary discussions of socialism frequently focus on economic inequality, health care, education, and economic security. These differences do not mean that all members of a generation understand capitalism or socialism in the same way. They do suggest that the same economic labels can carry different meanings across age groups.

Economic mobility provides another important part of the explanation. Younger Americans continue to place considerable importance on financial security, homeownership, and economic advancement, yet many express uncertainty about their ability to achieve these goals. People may evaluate an economic system not only by the distribution of income or wealth but also by whether they believe meaningful opportunities for advancement remain available. Concerns about housing costs, education debt, financial security, and barriers to economic advancement may therefore influence attitudes toward both markets and government without necessarily producing a rejection of private enterprise.

These findings also demonstrate the importance of examining specific policies rather than relying primarily on economic labels. The economic effects of taxation, regulation, social programs, and other government policies depend on how those policies are designed, financed, and implemented. Greater government involvement can provide economic security or address problems that markets do not resolve effectively, but it can also affect incentives, competition, costs, and economic opportunity. Evaluating these effects provides more information about the direction of economic policy than simply determining whether Americans view capitalism or socialism favorably.

Several limitations should be considered when interpreting these findings. The surveys reviewed in this article were conducted by different organizations, used different age categories, and measured attitudes using differently worded questions. Favorability toward an economic label is not necessarily equivalent to support for that economic system or for particular policies associated with it. The evidence is also primarily cross-sectional and cannot establish that economic experiences such as housing costs, education debt, or financial insecurity cause changes in attitudes toward capitalism or socialism. The findings are therefore best understood as evidence of changing patterns in economic attitudes rather than proof of the causes of those changes.

Taken together, the evidence points to changing expectations about the respective roles of markets and government rather than a simple movement from capitalism toward socialism. Many younger Americans continue to value private enterprise, entrepreneurship, and market institutions while also supporting a greater government role in areas related to economic security. Understanding this combination provides a clearer interpretation of changing economic attitudes than treating capitalism and socialism as mutually exclusive choices. The longer-term significance of these attitudes will depend less on the popularity of the labels themselves than on the specific policies Americans ultimately choose to support.

XIII. CONCLUSION

Changing attitudes toward capitalism and socialism among younger Americans do not necessarily indicate a shift from one economic system to another. The evidence reviewed in this article instead shows the importance of distinguishing attitudes toward economic labels from attitudes toward the institutions and policies those labels traditionally represent. Younger Americans are less favorable toward capitalism and more favorable toward socialism than older Americans, yet they continue to express strong support for free enterprise, small business, and other elements of a market economy.

Economic experience and changing interpretations of capitalism and socialism help explain this apparent contradiction. Younger Americans have developed their economic views during a period marked by high housing

costs, education debt, inflation, and concerns about financial security and economic mobility. At the same time, socialism is increasingly associated with health care, education, economic security, equality, and government services rather than solely with government ownership and central economic planning. Capitalism can likewise represent both economic opportunity and concerns about inequality, concentrated wealth, and corporate power.

These findings suggest that the more important economic debate is not simply whether Americans prefer capitalism or socialism. It is how they believe responsibilities should be divided between markets and government. Support for a larger government role in selected areas can coexist with continued support for private ownership, competition, entrepreneurship, and market exchange.

The future direction of the American economy will therefore be determined less by changing attitudes toward economic labels than by the policies Americans ultimately support and the effects those policies have on economic opportunity, security, competition, and mobility.

REFERENCES

- [1.] Alesina, A., Stantcheva, S., & Teso, E. (2018). Intergenerational mobility and preferences for redistribution. *American Economic Review*, 108(2), 521–554. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20162015>
- [2.] Antolin-Diaz, J., & Surico, P. (2025). The long-run effects of government spending. *American Economic Review*, 115(7), 2376–2413. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.20231278>
- [3.] Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. (2025, May). Economic well-being of U.S. households in 2024. <https://www.federalreserve.gov/publications/files/2024-report-economic-well-being-us-households-20250528.pdf>
- [4.] Daniller, A. (2025, December 10). Most Americans say government has a responsibility to ensure health care coverage. Pew Research Center. <https://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2025/12/16/most-americans-say-government-has-a-responsibility-to-ensure-health-care-coverage/>
- [5.] Harvard Public Opinion Project. (2025a). 50th edition—Spring 2025: Harvard Youth Poll. Institute of Politics, Harvard Kennedy School. <https://iop.harvard.edu/youth-poll/50th-edition-spring-2025>
- [6.] Harvard Public Opinion Project. (2025b). 51st edition—Fall 2025: Harvard Youth Poll. Institute of Politics, Harvard Kennedy School. <https://iop.harvard.edu/youth-poll/51st-edition-fall-2025>
- [7.] Harvard Public Opinion Project. (2026). 52nd edition—Spring 2026: Harvard Youth Poll. Institute of Politics, Harvard Kennedy School. <https://iop.harvard.edu/youth-poll/latest-poll>
- [8.] Jones, J. M. (2025, September 8). Image of capitalism slips to 54% in U.S. Gallup. <https://news.gallup.com/poll/694835/image-capitalism-slips.aspx>
- [9.] Krueger, A. O. (1974). The political economy of the rent-seeking society. *American Economic Review*, 64(3), 291–303. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1808883>
- [10.] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2025). OECD economic surveys: Sweden 2025. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/75e94b2f-en>
- [11.] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2026). OECD economic surveys: Denmark 2026. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/3d6cb4b8-en>
- [12.] Pew Research Center. (2010, May 4). “Socialism” not so negative, “capitalism” not so positive. <https://www.pewresearch.org/politics/2010/05/04/socialism-not-so-negative-capitalism-not-so-positive/>
- [13.] Pew Research Center. (2019, October 7). In their own words: Behind Americans’ views of “socialism” and “capitalism”. <https://www.pewresearch.org/politics/2019/10/07/in-their-own-words-behind-americans-views-of-socialism-and-capitalism/>
- [14.] Pew Research Center. (2022, September 19). Modest declines in positive views of “socialism” and “capitalism” in U.S. <https://www.pewresearch.org/politics/2022/09/19/modest-declines-in-positive-views-of-socialism-and-capitalism-in-u-s/>
- [15.] Saad, L. (2026a, August 6). How Americans view capitalism, socialism and free enterprise. Gallup. <https://news.gallup.com/poll/713144/americans-view-capitalism-socialism-free-enterprise.aspx>
- [16.] Saad, L. (2026b, September 23). Socialism’s positive rating tops 40% for first time. Gallup. <https://news.gallup.com/poll/714653/socialism-positive-rating-tops-first-time.aspx>
- [17.] U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. (2023). Pro-housing land use and zoning reforms. Office of Policy Development and Research. <https://docs.huduser.gov/archives/portal/sites/default/files/pdf/policy-and-practice-publication-2023-april.pdf>